

Calcutta High Court (Appellete Side)

Rs vs State Of West Bengal & Ors on 5 August, 2019

1

Sl. No.139.

05 08 2019

S.D.

W.P. 21379 (W) of 2018

RS

Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kaushik Gupta

Mr. Arnab Nandi

...For the petitioner.

Mr. Tarak Karan

...For the State.

Mr. Arindam Sen

Mr. Sandeep Prasad Shaw

Ms. Priyanka Gupta

....For the Respondent Nos. 2 to 3.

Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner presses two prayers of the writ petition. One prayer relates to Section 34 of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus and Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (Prevention and Control) Act, 2017. The other relates to interest on delay in disbursement of the compensation.

State and the respondents are represented. So far as the first prayer is concerned, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that, the name of the petitioner should not be disclosed in the order as uploaded in the server.

In view of Section 34 of the Act of 2017, it would be appropriate to describe the petitioner as 'RS'. The Department will henceforth describe the writ petitioner as 'RS'.

So far as the other relief is concerned, it would be appropriate to permit the parties to file affidavits.

Let affidavit in opposition be filed within four weeks from date. Reply thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

The writ petitioner will be treated as ready for hearing immediately on completion of the time stipulated for filing affidavits.

Liberty is given to the parties to mention the matter for early hearing.

Urgent certified website copies of this order, if applied for, be made available to the parties upon compliance of the requisite formalities.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)