## SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 5557/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 22-03-2022 in LPA No. 734/2018 passed by the High Court Of Delhi At New Delhi)

UNION OF INDIA Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS** 

CENTRAL INFORMATION COMMISSION & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.44955/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.44956/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT )

Date: 11-04-2023 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.T. RAVIKUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Zoheb Hussain, Adv.

Mr. Kanu Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Shyamal Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.

Ms. Ruchi Gour Narula, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R  $\,$ 

Delay condoned.

Respondent No. 2 has prayed for the following information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 (for short, the RTI Act')-

- "(1) copies of all the seniority list in respect of LDCs for the period of 1991 till date;
  - (2) copies of the proposal for promotion of LDCs placed before the DPC together with copies of the Minutes of the Meetings and copies of the promotion orders issued on the recommendations of the DPC from time to time."

contd..

- 2 -

It was the case on behalf of the appellant that the

appellant/Directorate of Enforcement, being in the Second Schedule

of the RTI Act, the RTI Act shall not be applicable/applied to the

said Organisation. However, the High Court by the impugned

judgment and order has observed that the "information sought can

be said to pertaining to the human rights violations" and

therefore, Section 24 of the RTI Act shall not be applicable.

Though, we do not approve the reasoning given by the High

Court, however, taking into consideration the fact that what was

sought was the service record, namely, seniority list and copies of

the proposal for promotion of the Lower Division Clerks placed

before the DPC, keeping the question of law open, whether on other

aspects or with respect to other information whether RTI Act shall

be applicable to the appellant or not, we do not entertain the

present Special Leave Petition in the peculiar facts and

circumstances of the documents sought.

At the cost of repetition, it is observed that we do not

approve the reasoning given by the High Court. However, still, for

the reasons stated hereinabove, we refuse to entertain the present

Special Leave Petition, keeping the question of law open.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NEETU SACHDEVA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NISHA TRIPATHI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR