

ITEM NOS.50+46

COURT NO.1

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No.687/2021

AMAR VIVEK AGGARWAL & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No.72029/2021 - FOR EX-PARTE STAY; IA No.34078/2022 - FOR INTERVENTION; IA No.160561/2021 - FOR INTERVENTION; IA No.74976/2021 - FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

W.P.(C) No.324/2022 (X)

(IA No.67238/2022 - FOR EX-PARTE STAY)

W.P.(C) No.1077/2021 (X)

(IA No.123819/2021 - FOR EX-PARTE AD-INTERIM RELIEF)

MA NO.1502/2020 in W.P.(C) No.454/2015 (PIL-W)

(IA No.45959/2022 - APPEAL AGAINST REGISTRARS ORDER XV RULE 5; IA No.102359/2020 - FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS; IA No.74393/2020 - FOR APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS; IA No.75687/2021 - FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION; IA No.74395/2020 - FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING AFFIDAVIT; IA No.72730/2022 - FOR INTERVENTION; IA No.95642/2020 - FOR INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT; and, IA No.95821/2020 - FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)

MA NO.709/2022 in W.P.(C) No.454/2015 (PIL-W)

(FOR ADMISSION; IA No.58694/2022 - FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION; and, IA No.58694/2022 - FOR CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

W.P.(C) No.189/2022 (X)

(IA No.39576/2022 - FOR EX-PARTE STAY; and, IA No.39579/2022 - FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

W.P.(C) No.639/2022 (X)

(FOR ADMISSION; and, IA No.114704/2022 - FOR EX-PARTE STAY)

SLP (C) NOS.754-755/2020

(IA No.74621/2021 - FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 12-09-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

Counsel for the Parties:

Ms. Indira Jaising, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mohan V. Katarki, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Anindita Pujari, AOR
Mr. Paras Nath Singh, Adv.
Mr. Azad Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Prakriti Rastogi, Adv.
Mr. Om Narayan Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Rauf Rahim, AOR
Mr. Ali Asghar Rahim, Adv.

Mr. Basava Prabhu S. Patil, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Malak Manish Bhatt, AOR
Mr. Rajat Bector, Adv.

Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR
Mr. R. Venkataraman, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Sangwan, Adv.

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Udit Singh, AOR
Mr. Saeed Qadri, Adv.
Mr. Shraveen Kumar Verma, Adv.
Mr. Sangeet Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, AOR
Ms. Shreya Srivastava, Adv.
Ms. Ashish Madaan, Adv.
Mr. Aman Singh Bhadoria, Adv.
Ms. Ananya Sahu, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Adv.
M/s. Delhi Law Chambers, AOR

Ms. Akshita Mannocho, Ad.
Mr. Rajat Sehgal, AOR

Mr. Kushagra Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Ghade, AOR

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AOR

Mr. Sibho Sankar Mishra, AOR

Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan, AOR

Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, AOR

Mr. Anandh Kannan N., AOR

Mr. Prashant Singh, AOR

Ms. Nandini Gore, AOR

Mr. Avinash Sharma, AOR

Mr. Rishi Malhotra, AOR

Mr. Tuhin, AOR

Mr. Pai Amit, AOR

Mr. Anirudh Sangneria, AOR

Dr. Joseph Aristotle S., AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

These Miscellaneous Applications as well as other Petitions either seek clarification/modification of or implementation of certain directions issued by this Court in *Indira Jaising v. Supreme Court of India*, (2017) 9 SCC 766.

There are also Special Leave Petitions which arise out of the decisions rendered by the High Courts and some of the grievances raised today are that the High Courts have failed to appreciate the true nature and spirit of the directions issued by this Court in *Indira Jaising (supra)*.

On the earlier occasion, these matters were coming up before Bench of three-Judges when certain orders including the orders dated 26.07.2022 and 02.09.2022 were passed.

Various parties have preferred their written submissions and we were taken through the written submissions filed by Ms. Indira Jaising, learned Senior Advocate and those filed on behalf of the High Court of Punjab & Haryana.

Having considered various submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, in our view, in the fitness of things, these matters must be considered by a Bench of three-Judges.

Ordered accordingly.

Let the papers be placed before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice to refer these matters to a larger Bench.

(MUKESH NASA)
AR-cum-PS

(VIRENDER SINGH)
BRANCH OFFICER