

CS-4110-2021

Urban Clap Technologies Pvt. Ltd. Versus Seema Singh & Others

Present: Shri J.K. Dang, Advocate for plaintiff.

None for defendants.

Notice issued to defendants received back with the report of refusal. Case called several times since morning but none appeared on behalf of defendants neither in person nor through counsel. It is already 03:00 p.m. Waited sufficiently. Further wait is unjustified. ***Hence, defendants are hereby proceeded ex parte.***

The learned counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that the defendants along with other defendants and their family members are holding demonstrations in front of the office of the plaintiff company including the backside gate of the said office. The regular officials of plaintiff company are facing hardship for ingress and egress in the office due to the gathering of defendants including their family members and several other people who are demonstrating/protesting against the plaintiff company and accordingly, they be restrained from doing so within the 100 meter area of the said office.

Submissions heard.

Since none has appeared on behalf of defendants neither they have come themselves. Accordingly, in view of the contentions of learned counsel for plaintiff, it is much appropriate that the defendants be restrained from holding demonstrations/protest/gatherings in front as well as the back gate of plaintiff's company office within the 100 meter area. I order accordingly.

The defendants are hereby restrained from holding demonstrations/protest/gatherings in front as well as the back gate of plaintiff's company office within the 100 meter area.

Now, the case is adjourned to **01.04.2022** for ex parte evidence.

Date of Order: 22.12.2021

Neetika Tuteja
Stenographer Gr. -III

(Harsh Kumar Singh)
Civil Judge (Junior Division),
Gurugram. [UID No. HR - 0416]